

GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM, BOLANGIR

(Infront of Children's Park),

BOLANGIR-767001, Tel./Fax:-(06652) 235741

E-mail: grfwesco.bgr@rediffmail.com/ Grf.bolangir@tpwesternodisha.com

Bench: Er. Kumuda Bandhu Sahu (President),

Sri Prasanta Kumar Sahoo (Member (Finance)), Sri Krupasindhu Padhee, (Co-Opted Member)

Memo No.GRF/BGR/Order/

Dated, the

Corum:

Er. Kumuda Bandhu Sahu

Sri Prasanta Kumar Sahoo Sri Krupasindhu Padhee

President

Member (Finance) Co-Opted Member

1	Case No.	Complaint Case No. BGR/280	0/2025				
	Complainant/s	Name & Address		Consumer No	Consumer No Contact No		
2		Sri Rashmi Ranjan Sahoo,		911524010251	787333	7835	
		At/Po-Deogaon, Bhanjapada,					
		Dist-Bolangir					
	Respondent/s	Name		Division			
3		S.D.O (Elect.), TPWODL, Tusura		Bolangir Electrical Division,			
4	Date of Application	09.05.2025					
-	Date of Application	1. Agreement/Termination 2. Billing Disputes			V		
5	In the matter of-			•		V	
		3. Classification/Reclassi- fication of Consumers		4. Contract Demand / Connected Load			
		5. Disconnection /		. Installation of Equipment &			
		Reconnection of Supply		apparatus of Consumer			
		7. Interruptions		Metering			
		9. New Connection 11. Security Deposit / Interest	10. Qua	10. Quality of Supply & GSOP			
				ting of Service Connection &			
				age Fluctuations			
		15. Others (Specify) –					
6	Section(s) of Electricity	ty Act. 2003 involved					
7	OERC Regulation(s) 1. OERC Distribution (Conditions of Supply) Code,2019;						
	with Clauses	Clause(s) 155, 157					
		2. OERC Distribution (Licensee's Standard of Performance) Regulations, 2004; Clause					
		3. OERC Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2004; Clause					
		4. Odisha Grid Code (OGC) Regulation, 2006; Clause					
		5. OERC (Terms and Conditions for Determination of Tariff) Regulations, 2004; Clause					
		6. Others					
8	Date(s) of Hearing	09.05.2025					
9	Date of Order	15.05.2025					
10	Order in favour of	Complainant √ Responde		Others	1		
11	Details of Compens						
	awarded, if any.						

102,2 102 150,57

Place of Hearing:

Camp Court at Tusura

Appeared:

HOLANGIR

NOO

For the Complainant

-Sri Rashmi Ranjan Sahoo

For the Respondent

-Sri Sanjeeb Kumar Padhi, S.D.O (Elect.), Tusura

Complaint Case No. BGR/280/2025

Sri Rashmi Ranjan Sahoo, At/Po-Deogaon, Bhanjapada, Dist-Bolangir Con. No. 911524010251

COMPLAINANT

-Versus-

Sub-Divisional Officer, Electrical Sub-Division, TPWODL, Tusura

OPPOSITE PARTY

ORDER (Dt.15.05.2025)

HISTORY OF THE CASE

The Complaint petition filed by the consumer Shri Rasmi Ranjan Sahu who is a LT-Dom. consumer availing a CD of 1.5 KW. He has disputed about the erroneous bill raised from Nov.-2011 to Sep-2012 with defective meter status where the meter was running. Also, he has disputed about the provisional & average bill raised from Jun-2013 to Sep-2021. He has filed his grievances for revision of bill. The complainant needs suitable bill revision for the said period.

The case was heard in detail.

PROCEEDING OF HEARING DATED: 09.05.2025

SUBMISSION OF COMPLAINANT DURING HEARING

The complainant is a consumer under Deogaon section of Tusura Sub-division. The consumer disputed the average bills raised from Jun-2013 to Sep-2021 with meter defective status where the meter was running. Also, the complainant represented that he was served with average bill from Jun-2013 to Sep-2021 due to meter defective. For that, the total outstanding has been accumulated to ₹ 40,455.05p upto Apr.-2025. The complainant raised dispute against the said period and requested before the Forum for suitable revision of the bill.

SUBMISSION OF OPPOSITE PARTY DURING HEARING

The OP appeared before the Forum with relevant records. On defence, he intimated that the consumer is a LT-Domestic consumer availing power supply since Feb.-2003. The billing dispute raised by the complainant for the average billing from Nov-2011 to Sep-2012 was due to wrong punching of meter status from OK meter to defective meter by the concerned meter reader. The matter was identified in Oct-2012 and the consumer was billed with CMR: 6994 but prior to that, the average billing period has not yet revised which needs bill revision. Secondly, the billing dispute raised by the complainant for the average billing from Jun-2013 to Sep-2021 was due to meter defective for that period. A new meter with sl. no. WHL035514 has been installed on 14th

Che 12 1021 1022

Oct. 2021, thereafter actual billing has been done. As the above-stated period bill has not revised, it needs bill revision.

Considering the above, the OP requested before the Forum for revision of previous disputed bills and pass order as deemed fit.

FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS OF THE FORUM

FORE

The consumer is a LT-Dom. consumer with a CD of 1.5 KW. The consumer has availed power supply since 27th Feb. 2003 and total outstanding upto Apr.-2025 is ₹ 40,455.05p. As complained by the complainant and submission of OP, it is observed by the Forum that,

1. As represented by the consumer, due to wrong meter defective status, he was served with average bills from Nov-2011 to Sep-2012 with meter no. 1989773 which needs bill revision.

The OP admitted the complaint and submitted that due to wrong punching of meter status by the concerned meter reader in Nov-2011, the consumer billed on average basis from Nov-2011 to Sep-2012. The meter status has been rectified in Oct-2012 with CMR: 6994. Thereafter actual billing has been done. The defective billing period needs bill revision as per actual consumption of the meter.

In the instant case, it is surprised that the OP has allowed the consumer to continue with defective meter status for more than ten months where the meter was running with OK status in the field for which the consumer was raised dispute. Due to delay in resolve the grievances by the OP, average billing was done which could have been avoided for which it is advised to the OP to be taken care in future.

During the course of hearing, the OP has admitted with the billing complaints and initiated bill revision process on the spot observing departmental guidelines. Accordingly, the monthly bill has been recalculated with the consumption and an amount of ₹3,010.14p is to be withdrawn from the arrear outstanding.

2. As represented by the consumer, due to meter defective, he was served with average bills from Jun-2013 to Sep-2021 which needs bill revision.

The OP admitted the complaint and submitted that a new meter has been installed with meter no. WHL035514 on 14th Oct. 2021 and thereafter actual billing has been done. The defective billing period needs bill revision as per consumption of new meter.

In the instant case, it is surprised that the OP has allowed the consumer to continue with defective meter for more than eight years. Due to delay in installation of new meter, average billing was done which could have been avoided if the OP has installed the meter without delay for which it is advised to the OP to be taken care in future.

During the course of hearing, the OP has admitted with the billing complaints and initiated bill revision process on the spot observing departmental guidelines. Accordingly, the monthly bill has been recalculated with the consumption and an amount of ₹ 15,206.72p is to be withdrawn from the arrear outstanding.

CO-OPTED MEMBER

MEMBER (Fin.)

PRESIDENT



3. The complainant has not paid the monthly bill regularly for which the total outstanding has been accumulated to ₹ 40,455.05p upto Apr.-2025.

In view of above facts and circumstances and after going through the documents submitted by both the parties, the Forum pronounces the following order as per regulations of the OERC Distribution (Conditions of Supply) Code 2019.

The OP was agreed with the billing dispute and revised the bill on spot and the petitioner was convinced with the proposed withdrawal amount of $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$ 18,216.86p ($\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$ 3,010.14p + $\stackrel{?}{\underset{?}{?}}$ 15,206.72p). Hence, the Forum directed the OP to carry-out the revision proposal and must be reflected in the next bill.

Case is disposed off accordingly.

Compliance report must be submitted to the Forum by the opposite party within one month after receipt of GRF order otherwise it will be treated as non-compliance.

K.S.PADHEÉ
CO-OPTED MEMBER

P.K.SAHOO MEMBER (Fin.) K.B.SAHU

Copy to: -

- 1. Sri Rashmi Ranjan Sahoo, At/Po-Deogaon, Bhanjapada, Dist-Bolangir-767029.
- 2. Sub-Divisional Officer, Electrical Sub-Division, TPWODL, Tusura.
- 3. DFM/ AFM/ JFM, Bolangir Electrical Division, TPWODL, Bolangir.
- 4. Superintending Engineer, Electrical Circle, TPWODL, Bolangir.
- 5. Chief Legal, Head Quarter Office, TPWODL, Burla.

The order is also available at TPWODL Web site : tpwesternodisha.com → customer zone → Grievance Redressal Forum → BOLANGIR → (GRF CASE NO.)

"If the Complainant is aggrieved with this order or non-implementation of the order of the Grievauce Redressal Forum in time, he/she can make the representation to the Ombudsman-II, Qrs. No.3R-2(S), GRIDCO Colony, P.O:Bhoinagar, Bhubaneswar-751022 within 30 days from the date of order of the Grievance Redressal Forums."